

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/832,663	04/11/2001	Anthony J. Polak	106570-0002	1850
45416 7590 09/27/2007 LIFESCAN/NUTTER MCCLENNEN & FISH LLP 155 SEAPORT BOULEVARD			. EXAMINER	
			YANG, NELSON C	
BOSTON, MA	A 02210-2604	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1641	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/832,663	POLAK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Nelson Yang	1641				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) do will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froute, cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed  sys will be considered timely.  n the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23	July 2007.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdom 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-17,19,20,23-26,28-32,34-44,46 a</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	<ul> <li>✓ Claim(s) 1-17,19,20,23-26,28-32,34-44,46 and 47 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>✓ Claim(s) 1-17,19,20,23-26,28-32,34-44,46 and 47 is/are rejected.</li> </ul>					
Application Papers						
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Exami</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on 11 April 2001 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the </li> </ul>	a) $\boxtimes$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S ection is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a life.	ents have been received. Ents have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	_					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail					
Notice of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	——————————————————————————————————————	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/832,663 Page 2

Art Unit: 1641

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

- 1. Applicant's amendment of claim 1 is acknowledged and has been entered.
- 2. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.
- 3. Claims 1-17, 19-20, 23-26, 28-32, 34-44, 46, 47 are currently under examination.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-17, 19-20, 23-26, 29, 34-44, 46, 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being anticipated by Schultz [US 6,256,522] in view of Krauth [US 4,954,435] and further in view of Vo-Dinh [US 5,864,397] and further in view of Dionne et al. [US 5,773,286].

With respect to claim 1-4, 7-8, 19, 20, 23-26, 29, Schultz teaches a receptor material, Concanavalin A covalently attached to Rhodamine dye molecules, analog analyte comprising dextran covalently attached to fluorescein dye molecules located within a transparent capsule comprising a semi-permeable membrane comprising cellulose or polysulfone (column 10, lines 21-37, claim 1). Schultz further teaches a pH indicator located within the capsule (column 11, lines 1-5, claim 1), as well as a second dye of a second wavelength different from the first wavelength (column 13, lines 15-20). The rhodamine quenches emission fluorescence from the

fluorescein (column 10, lines 38-45). With respect to claim 4, the receptor material may be immobilized to a gel such as polyethylene glycol within the chamber (column 8, lines 11-27). Schultz fails to specifically teach using the pH indicator or a second dye as a reference dye, or that the binding substrate has a molecular imprint of the analyte, and also fails to teach that the device is seamless.

Krauth, however, teaches that in fluorescence assays, using a ratio of light signals, one signal being the reporter signal, and the other being the reference signal, provides a correction mechanism for obviating such variables such as fluctuation in the lamp output, variation in tube position, diameter, or optical quality (column 3, lines 50-61).

Vo-Dinh further teaches the use of a molecular imprint material designed to concentrate specific compounds of interest for improved sensitivity (column 6, lines 63-65).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the pH indicator as a reference dye as suggested by Krauth in the device of Schultz et al., in order to obviate such variables such as fluctuation in the lamp output, variation in tube position, diameter, or optical quality when detecting the presence of analytes. It would have further been obvious to use a molecular imprint material, as suggested by Vo-Dinh, in the device of Schultz, in order to concentrate specific compounds of interest for improved sensitivity.

Dionne et al. further teach that a sealed, implantable, encapsulated device (column 3, lines 50-67), with a polymer casting solution forming a semipermeable, porous membrane around the device (column 9, lines 5-15). Although Dionne et al. do not specifically state that the membrane is seamless, the way the membrane is formed would produce a seamless membrane.

Application/Control Number: 09/832,663 Page 4

Art Unit: 1641

Dionne et al. further disclose that the membrane can be used to reduce immune response, and provide a protective barrier against immunogens (column 6, lines 39-64), and also allows substances of a particular size, while preventing passage of larger substances (column 7, lines 5-11).

Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to provide a seamless membrane encapsulating the device of Schultz et al., as suggested by Dionne et al., in order to reduce immune response, and provide a protective barrier against immunogens, and to allow substances of a particular size while preventing passage of larger substance that would potentially interfere with the device.

- 6. With respect to claims 5-6, although neither Schultz nor Krauth teaches a reference covalently bonded to the membrane or in the membrane, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill at the time was made to have the reference covalently bonded to the membrane or in the membrane, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Japikse*, USPQ 70.
- 7. With respect to claims 9-12, Schultz teaches that the analyte and receptor may bind to form an analyte-receptor complex (column 6, lines 40-50) and comprise dextran (column 10, lines 20-37).
- 8. With respect to claims 13-17, Schultz teaches that the receptor material can be immobilized to a gel such as polyacrylamide (column 8, lines 20-28). Schultz further teaches that rhodamine dye molecules can be attached to the receptor material for quenching fluorescence (column 10, lines 25-45).

Art Unit: 1641

- 9. With respect to claims 34-36, Schultz teaches that the semi-permeable membrane comprising cellulose or polysulfone (column 10, lines 21-37, claim 1)
- 10. With respect to claim 37, Schultz teaches that the analyte-permeable membrane may also have a reflector comprising metallic particles immobilized on the surface of an ultrafiltration membrane (column 10, lines 1-10).
- 11. With respect to claims 38-39, Schultz teaches that the analyte being measured is glucose (column 10, line 25).
- 12. With respect to claims 40-43, while Schultz do not teach what the ratio of the empty space encapsulated by the capsule to a volume occupied by the binding substrate is, it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranged involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233. Furthermore, since applicant has not discussed any unexpected improvements or results using ratios between 0.05 and 5, between 0.5 and 3, or 1, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have used ratios between 0.05 and 5, between 0.5 and 3, or 1 through normal optimization techniques.
- 13. With respect to claims 44, 46, 47, the sensor unit may be placed underneath the skin (column 7, lines 27-36), illuminated with a laser (column 7, lines 38-45), and measuring absorption of light, including ultraviolet, visible or infrared (column 7, lines 15-25).
- 14. Claims 31-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schultz [US 6,256,522] in view of Krauth [US 4,954,435] and Vo-Dinh [US 5,864,397] and in view of Dionne et al. [US 5,773,286], as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Ferri et al.

Application/Control Number: 09/832,663

Art Unit: 1641

[Ferri et al., Direct eye visualization of Cfluorescence for immunocytochemistry and in situ hybridization, 2000, J Hist Cytochem, 48(3), 437-444]

The combination of Schultz, Vo-Dinh, Krauth and Dionne et al. teach the use of a reference, as discussed above, but do not teach the use of cyanine dyes such as Cy5.

Ferri et al., however, teach that Cy5 provides a distinct fluorescent signal that can easily be separated from that of many other fluorochromes (p.437, col.1). Ferri et al. further teach that a distinct advantage of Cy5 is the low autofluorescence found in many cells and tissues in the above wavelength range (p.437, col.1).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use Cy5 as a reference in the device of Schultz, Krauth et al., Vo-Dinh, and Ketterl et al. as suggested by Ferri et al., as one would have been motivated to provide a distinct fluorescent signal that can be easily separated from other fluorochromes by using Cy5 as a reference.

15. Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schultz [US 6,256,522] in view of Krauth [US 4,954,435] and Vo-Dinh [US 5,864,397] and in view of Dionne et al. [US 5,773,286], as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Bruchez et al. [US 6,274,323].

Schultz, Vo-Dinh, Krauth, and Dionne et al. teach the use of a reference, as discussed above, but fail to teach the use of quantum dots as a reference.

Bruchez et al., however, teach that semiconductor nanocrystals may be used to detect or track a single target, and can be used to in a variety of assays where other, less reliable, labeling methods have typically been used, including fluorescence microscopy, histology, cytology

Art Unit: 1641

pathology, flow cytometry, FISH, signal amplification assays, DNA and protein sequencing, immunoassays, immunohistochemical analysis, homogeneous assays, high throughput screening, and the like (column 16, lines 58-67).

Therefore it would have been obvious to use semiconductor nanocrystals, or quantum dots, instead of a label as a reference in the device of Schultz, Krauth, Vo-Dinh, and Ketterl et al. as suggested by Bruchez et al., in order to provide a more reliable labeling method.

## Response to Arguments

16. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-17, 19-20, 23-26, 28-32, 34-44, 46, 47 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

- 17. No claims are allowed.
- 18. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nelson Yang whose telephone number is (571) 272-0826. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long V. Le can be reached on (571)272-0823. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/832,663

Art Unit: 1641

19. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Page 8

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1641